

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,312	09/26/2003	Henry Downs	87326.3960	5326
75	90 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
Washington Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.		2828		
Washington, DC 20036		,	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AFL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. , ,				
	10/670,312	DOWNS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Michael C. Wimer	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commet. In (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 17,18 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16,19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/26/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P		52)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mont application (F.10-1)					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 6, lines 19 and 20, "120" should be --100- because "120" is used in the drawings for the isolator (e.g., see Fig. 1).

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 contains an arrowed-lead line, unnumbered that points to the corner of the outlined box labeled 150 (i.e., the antenna). It is unclear if there is a missing reference character or if this line is superfluous.

Figure 4 contains numeral "450" which appears to lack a description (see pages 10-11 of the specification).

Figure 4 also contains numeral "440" which lacks a description (see pages 10-11 of the specification).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Application/Control Number: 10/670,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 1 and 12, line 7, the term "cable" is not entirely understood and appears incomplete and indefinite since it is uncertain if this implies a cable, as in a feed line such as a coaxial cable for carrying the digital signals, particularly since figures 4 and 5 appear to show "line" 450 and 550 (page 11, second paragraph of the specification) which defines a cable. Alternatively, this word could be a typographical error meant to be "capable" and should be compared with that recited in line 9 with respect to the analog signals. Applicant should set forth the specific meaning here in order to obviate this rejection and to resolve all issues regarding the interrelationship of the "couplers".

Application/Control Number: 10/670,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

In Claim 7, lines 8 and 9, the language "the first end side" and the "the second end side" lack antecedent basis because line two recites ends as opposed to sides. In line 17, it appears that a comma should be inserted after "formed". In Claim 14, line 7, it appears that "mean" should be --means-- in order to provide a proper antecedent basis for that in lines 9-10.

In Claim 15, line 1, it appears that "analog digital" should be hyphenated since

Allowable Subject Matter

the term appears in the specification that way (compare with Claim 17).

- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a traveling wave structure, method therefor, with radiators and digital and analog energy fed at respective sides/ends of the array.
- 6. Claims 1-16,19 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 17,18 and 20 are allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 7/25/2005